



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,060 02/27/2004		Jonathan T. Kemper	DEI 011 UTL	9554	
35070	7590	09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
ANATOLY	S. WEISER	, ESQ	NGUYEN, HUNG T		
674 VIA DE LA VALLE SUITE 216				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075				2636	
					_

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Community	10/789,060	KEMPER, JONATHAN T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hung T. Nguyen	2636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruarv 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14, 17-25 & 27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 26</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
	or the certified copies not receive	eu.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(o) which requires legends on drawings:

 -In figs.1 & 3, All the number in the boxes 130, 145, 301, 350, 351-358, 365, 370, 375

 & 385 should be provided with descriptive labels (e.g., processor, microcontroller, memory, input device, etc). Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14, 17-25 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al. (U.S. 4,856,072) in view of Antico et al. / Patent Application Pub. US 2004/0100396.

Regarding claim 1, Schneider discloses a voice actuated vehicle security system (10) as detecting unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. [fig.1, col.1, line 51 to col.2, line 37] comprising:

- a sound component / siren (19), speakers (40,42) [fig.1, col.2, lines 31-37 and col.6, lines 37-47];

- an indicator light (48) coupled to the security system (10) to sense on and off state of the indicator light [fig.1, col.2, lines 28-47 and col.3, lines 26-45];
- a processing component (14) in the form of microcomputer (14) communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.1, col.2, line 28 to col.3, line 45];
- a speech synthesis (16) coupled the sensors (20,24,26,28,30) and microcomputer (14) generating the voiced signal in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45].

The reference of Schneider does not specifically mention the light indicator to flashing sequences as claimed by the applicant.

However, Antico teaches a vehicle security system to detect unauthorized person entry to or tampering the property by having flash sequences or flash sequence programmed by the operator [figs.1-4, paragraph 0047].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the teaching of Antico in the system of Schneider for providing more noticed signal as continuous series of flashing signal to the driver operator.

Regarding claim 2, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital processor communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user includes code signal [figs.1, 3c, col.3, lines 7-34 and col.6, lines 13-36].

Regarding claim 3, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital processor communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. includes a comparator device which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.3a, col.4, lines 6-25 and col.7, lines 60-67].

Regarding claim 4, Schneider discloses the indicator light (48) / optoelectronic component which coupled to the security system (10) to sense on and off state of the indicator light [fig.1, col.2, lines 28-47 and col.3, lines 26-45].

Regarding claims 5-6, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital to analog (D/A) communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors (20,24,26,28,30) detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. includes a comparator device which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.3a, col.4, lines 6-25 and col.7, lines 60-67]; and

- the speech synthesis (16) coupled to microcomputer (14) for processing and generating the voiced signals in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45].

Regarding claims 7-8, Schneider discloses the security system (10) to sense / control sensor (30) to on and off state of the indicator light [fig.1, col.3, lines 26-45];

- a processing component (14) in the form of microcomputer (14) digital to analog (D/A) communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors (20,24,26,28,30) detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.1, col.2, line 28 to col.3, line 45]; and

Antico teaches a vehicle security system to detect unauthorized person entry to or tampering the property by having flash sequences or flash sequence programmed by the operator [figs.1-4, paragraph 0047].

Regarding claims 9-12, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital to analog (D/A) communicate with circuit to generate the sound components (19,40,42) as sensors (20,24,26,28,30) detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. includes a comparator device which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.3a, col.4, lines 6-25 and col.7, lines 60-67];

- the microcomputer (14) / digital processor communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user includes code signal [figs.1, 3c, col.3, lines 7-34 and col.6, lines 13-36]; and
- the speech synthesis (16) coupled to microcomputer (14) for processing and generating the voiced signals in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45].

Regarding claim 13, Schneider discloses the security system (10) to sense / control sensor (30) to on and off state of the indicator light [fig.1, col.3, lines 26-45]; and

Antico teaches a vehicle security system to detect unauthorized person entry to or tampering the property by having flash sequences or flash sequence programmed by the operator [figs.1-4, paragraph 0047].

Regarding claim 14, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital to analog (D/A) having microphones (36,38) and input device / keypad (22) to store input information into the memory device (44) by the user to activate the sound components (19,40,42) [fig.1, col.2, line 28 to col.3, line 45].

Regarding claim 17, Schneider discloses a voice actuated vehicle security system (10) as detecting unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. [fig.1, col.1, line 51 to col.2, line 37] comprising:

- a sound component / siren (19), speakers (40,42) [fig.1, col.2, lines 31-37 and col.6, lines 37-47];
- sensors of hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. (20,24,26,28,30) and microcomputer (14) generating the voiced signal in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45];
- an indicator light (48) coupled to the security system (10) to sense on and off state of the indicator light [fig.1, col.2, lines 28-47 and col.3, lines 26-45];

- a processing component (14) in the form of microcomputer (14) communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user by the user includes code signal [figs.1, 3c, col.2, line 28 to col.3, line 45 and col.6, lines 13-36];

Page 7

- a speech synthesis (16) coupled the sensors (20,24,26,28,30) and microcomputer (14) generating the voiced signal in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45].

The reference of Schneider does not specifically mention the light indicator to delimit sequences as claimed by the applicant.

However, Antico teaches a vehicle security system to detect unauthorized person entry to or tampering the property by having flash sequences or flash sequence programmed by the operator [figs.1-4, paragraph 0047].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the teaching of Antico in the system of Schneider for providing more noticed signal as continuous series of flashing signal to the driver operator.

Regarding claims 18-19, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital to analog (D/A) communicate with circuit to generate the sound components (19,40,42) as sensors (20,24,26,28,30) detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. includes a comparator device which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.3a, col.4, lines 6-25 and col.7, lines 60-67];

- the microcomputer (14) / digital processor communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user includes code signal [figs.1, 3c, col.3, lines 7-34 and col.6, lines 13-36]; and
- the speech synthesis (16) coupled to microcomputer (14) for processing and generating the voiced signals in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45].

Regarding claims 20-21, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital to analog (D/A) having microphones (36,38) and input device / keypad (22) to store input information into the memory device (44) by the user to activate the sound components (19,40,42) [fig.1, col.2, line 28 to col.3, line 45].

Regarding claim 22, Schneider discloses a method of voice actuated vehicle security (10) as detecting unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. [fig.1, col.1, line 51 to col.2, line 37] comprising:

- an indicator light (48) coupled to the security system (10) to sense on and off state of the indicator light [fig.1, col.2, lines 28-47 and col.3, lines 26-45];
- a sound component / siren (19), speakers (40,42) [fig.1, col.2, lines 31-37 and col.6, lines 37-47];

Page 9

- sensors of hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. (20,24,26,28,30) and microcomputer (14) generating the voiced signal in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45];

- the microcomputer (14) / digital processor communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. includes a comparator device which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.3a, col.4, lines 6-25 and col.7, lines 60-67];
- a speech synthesis (16) coupled the sensors (20,24,26,28,30) and microcomputer (14) generating the voiced signal in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45].

The reference of Schneider does not specifically mention the light indicator to delimiting sequences as claimed by the applicant.

However, Antico teaches a vehicle security system to detect unauthorized person entry to or tampering the property by having flash sequences or flash sequence programmed by the operator [figs.1-4, paragraph 0047].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the teaching of Antico in the system of Schneider for providing more noticed signal as continuous series of flashing signal to the driver operator.

Regarding claims 23-25, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital to analog (D/A) communicate with circuit to generate the sound components (19,40,42) as sensors (20,24,26,28,30) detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors,

ignition switch, motion and etc. includes a comparator device which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user [fig.3a, col.4, lines 6-25 and col.7, lines 60-67];

- the microcomputer (14) / digital processor communicate with circuit to generate the sound component as sensors detected any unauthorized person tampering the vehicle by hood, doors, ignition switch, motion and etc. which are programmed in the memory device (44) by the user includes code signal [figs.1, 3c, col.3, lines 7-34 and col.6, lines 13-36]; and
- the speech synthesis (16) coupled to microcomputer (14) for processing and generating the voiced signals in response to the flashing of LED indicator (48) [fig.1, col.2, line 39 to col.3, line 45].

Regarding claim 27, Schneider discloses the microcomputer (14) / digital to analog (D/A) having microphones (36,38) and input device / keypad (22) to store input information into the memory device (44) by the user to activate the sound components (19,40,42) [fig.1, col.2, line 28 to col.3, line 45].

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15-16 & 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Duvall, Jr. (U.S. 5,704,008) Method of and apparatus for motor vehicle security assurance employing voice recognition control of vehicle operation.
 - Bergholz et al. (U.S. 5,812,067) System for recognizing authorization to use a vehicle.
 - Himmelstein (U.S. 6,496,107) Voice-controlled vehicle control system.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass, Jeffery can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

HUNG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner: Hung T. Nguyen

Date:

August 31, 2005